



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,011	07/11/2001	Koichi Chotoku	275761US6	9054
22850	7590 05/17/20	06	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2621	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/90	03,011	CHOTOKU ET AL				
		Exam	niner	Art Unit				
		HUY	T. NGUYEN	2621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stree to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DATE OI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause th	F THIS COMMUNION THE THIS COMMUNION THE THIS COMMUNICATION TO SECURITY THE THIS COMMUNICATION TO SECURITY THIS COMMUNICATION TH	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 21 Februari	v 2006					
3)□	<del></del>							
٠,ڪ	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	,		,				
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□								
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electi	on requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepted o	or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing	g(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is re	equired if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examine	r. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:			. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li></ul>			Application No.				
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>				Stago			
	application from the Internation	•		en received in this National	Stage			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	/ \ \ •	, ,,	ot received.				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	•	6) 🔲 Other: _	· ·				

Application/Control Number: 09/903,011

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 4, line 2 after "program" should inserted – executed by a computer --; and

In claims 10-13, it is not clear what is meant by "largest evaluation value" in claims 10-13. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2621

3. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibi (5.546,191) in view of Shimazaki (6.160,950).

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Hibi teaches a video-signal recording & playback apparatus for recording and playing back a video signal of a television-broadcast program, said video-signal recording / playback apparatus comprising:

a detection means for detecting relevant information related to a program being recorded (column 25, lines 27-48); and

a modification means for automatically modifying an algorithm of detecting a program-representing picture when a commercial is detected (a picture of multi screen )(column 25, lines 45-68).

Hibi fails to teach that the relevant information are gene information.

Shimazaki teaches a detecting means for detecting genre information of a program (column 9, lines 30-60) to generating index signal of a program.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hibi with Shimazaki by using a genre detection means as taught by Shimazaki with Hibi apparatus for detecting genre information in addition to the detecting means of Hibi thereby enhancing the capacity of the apparatus of Hibi for further detecting a program representative picture based on the genre information.

Method claims 3-4 correspond to apparatus claim 1. Therefore method claims 3-4 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claims 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,011 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

4. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chotoku et al (6,728,473) in view of Shimazaki (6,160,950).

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Chotoku teaches a video-signal recording & playback apparatus for recording and playing back a video signal of a television-broadcast program, said video-signal recording & playback apparatus comprising:

a detection means for detecting relevant information related to a program being recorded (column 8, lines 37-60); and

a modification means for automatically modifying an algorithm of detecting a program-representing picture .

Chotoku fails to teach that the relevant information are gene information.

Shimazaki teaches a detecting means for detecting genre information of a program (column 9, lines 30-60) to generating index signal of a program.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chotoku with Shimazaki by using a genre detection means as taught by Shimazaki with Chotoku apparatus for detecting genre information in addition to the detecting means of Chotoku thereby enhancing the capacity of the apparatus of Chotoku for further detecting a program representative picture based on the genre information.

Method claims 3-4 correspond to apparatus claim 1. Therefore method claims 3-4 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/903,011 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

5. Claims 6-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N

